---- Forwarded Message -----

From: Peter Rosenholm < treelaw45@yahoo.com>
To: Peter Rosenholm < treelaw45@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 13, 2011 12:37 PM

Subject: #1119 & #11195 Regulatory Challenges of Nano-Enabled ICT

Implants Treating or Tracking?

#1119 Regulatory Challenges of Nano-Enabled ICT Implants Treating or Tracking?

#1119f Darpa and Davide Larson

Thanks to the TI who put this up. Nano implants seem to be a reality. How will we ever prove this? I supplied the link. Peter

http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1782435

Treating or Tracking? Regulatory Challenges of Nano-Enabled ICT Implants

Eleni Kosta ICRI-K.U.Leuven-IBBT

Diana M. Bowman affiliation not provided to SSRN

Law & Policy, Vol. 33, Issue 2, pp. 256-275, 2011

Abstract:

The increasing commercialisation of human ICT implants has generated debate over the ethical, legal, and social implications of their use. The convergence of nanotechnologies with ICT is likely to further challenge the current legal frameworks that regulate them. The aim of this article is to examine the effectiveness of the European data protection legal framework for regulating this next generation- of nano-enabled ICT human implantable devices. The article highlights the potential regulatory challenges posed by the applications and makes a series of recommendations as to how the current European legal framework on data protection will respond to them. --

#1119b Regulatory Challenges of Nano-Enabled ICT Implants Treating or Tracking?

Someone sent me that story and I went and found the link so people could read it themselves. If you keep cutting out the part that answers your question I'm not going to keep going back and getting link every time you ask a question and then throw out the link to the writing.

ICT means Information and communications technology. This is being done with nano implants.

http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1782435

Peter Rosenholm

#1119f Regulatory Challenges of Nano-Enabled ICT Implants Treating or Tracking?

Monday, March 28, 2011 8:56 PM

From:

"Peter Rosenholm" < treelaw45@yahoo.com>

View contact details

To:

"macactivism" < mcactivism@yahoogroups.com>

#1119f Regulatory Challenges of Nano-Enabled ICT Implants Treating or Tracking?

He's not that hard to find. - Peter

......Further, the FCC released materials under FOIA that show the FCC gave CIA contract personnel a experimental license, call sign WD2XLW, allowing them to interact with the implanted devices wirelessly using FCC regulated spectrum. The license is only

good within U.S. borders confirming this is a domestic tool. The FCC said this license

only allows for operation within a short range, but Intel Corp disputed the FCC's claim

and submitted data showing that the WD2XLW license, even at only 1-milliwatt of power,

was good for thousands of meters or many miles.....

This was under a google search: WD2XLW distance...... but the entire fist article is not shown nor what FOIA request diclosed this information. If someone was into searching the hidden Internet this might help.

ECFS Filing: EIBASS (09-36) - 08/26/2010

Aug 26, 2010... status reports for its WD2XLW experimental license be kept

secret, ... 3 The calculated distance to the 1.52 mW/cm 2 occupational limit ... ecfsdocs.fcc.gov/filings/2010/08/26/6015952890.html

Plaintiff respectfully submits this application for Temporary
May 28, 2010... 12 call-sign WD2XLW) which is allowing Defendants to use 13
FCC ... Bionics" explicitly defines "200 feet" as the 17 operating distance. ...

www.docstoc.com/.../Plaintiff-respectfully-submits-this-application-forTemporary
- Cached
http://tinyurl.com/4tg8t8k

CIA confirms biomedical devices are classified intelligence sources and methods, page 1

Pages: << 1 2 >>

ATS Members have flagged this thread 9 times

Topic started on 18-2-2009 @ 01:39 AM by DIVIDEBYZERO

To: #####

I am writing to disclose continued unlawful acts by the intelligence community that

are misaligned and causing sensitive information to be dispersed to unauthorized individuals. My name is Dave Larson. I was involved in early development of implantable

neural prosthesis devices funded under the DARPA programs of Tony Tether and Col.

Geoffrey Ling and the NIH programs of William Heetderks. These programs included

unacknowledged Special Access Programs in which statutory reporting obligations to

Congress were waived. In some of the contracts, there was a cross-cutting nexus that

had defense and intelligence agencies looking to exploit the less than humanitarian

applications of the technology. This program has used innocent civilians as research

subjects since at least 1997. I submitted a FOIA request to the CIA to verify if the CIA is using the intellectual property of Alfred Mann, Second Sight LLC and Advanced

Bionics as surveillance methods. I received a reply which I have uploaded here CIA FOIA

The CIA reply states that the CIA is in possession of such documents but will not release the materials stating that the CIA use of the intellectual property is

currently and properly classified pursuant to an executive order and in the interest

of national security and applies to CIA Director's statutory obligation not to disclose

sources and methods.

Here is his web site with some more writings

http://larsonmedia.net/electlarson/issues.htm

CIA Program jeopardizes the civil rights and liberties of Americans... CIA Director Leon Panetta recently disclosed to Congress that, under the Bush Administration, a

secret program was conducted for several years without statutory Congressional notification. The media has reported that Leon Panetta "put a stake through the heart of the program so that it would never return...". The disturbing truth is that this program continues domestically, and is funded through September 30, 2011

using an approximate \$690 million appropriation from an agency which does not appear

in the Intelligence Authorization Act FY2010 (IAA FY2010) as an authorized funding

element. A new, more lenient standard for re-directing these funds contained in the

IAA FY2010 permits these funds to be re-directed to intelligence personnel at the CIA as long as it "supports an emergent need". This is being exploited to fund the

CIA program activities through September 2011 (or until funds are exhausted) as an

ostensible funding mechanism. The activities, when directed at Americans, are highly

unlawful and unconstitutional. The program involves the practice of surreptitiously

deploying implantable biomedical devices and using FCC regulated wireless radio spectrum

to a) place the target under surveillance, b) influence or control the subject, or c) target the subject with executive direct type action. The technology dates back to the

1963 CIA Inspector General report which disclosed that the CIA was using "devices for

remote monitoring of physiological signals" and the equipment lists which disclose

"National Brand" HAM Radios and "EEG's" were being used during the

notorious, but well

documented mkultra program that was uncovered by Senators Kennedy and Inouye during

1977 Senate investigations.

In this program, an implanted individual falls into the legal patchwork of the rendition

and detainee practices established by the Bush administration. An implanted individual

becomes a "ghost detainee", and wherever he roams dynamically becomes a "ghost site".

The act of surreptitious deployment of the wireless medical devices upon the subject

falls under the provisions of a "rendition". The Obama administration parsed its declaration about ghost site practices with the word "overseas", and simply stated

that the administration was closing "overseas ghost sites". Disturbingly, this practice

appears to be continuing domestically. Recently, several CIA personnel including former

Deputy Director Stephen Kappes, were tried and convicted in absentia in Italy for violations of law relative to these "rendition" practices.

Further, the FCC released materials under FOIA that show the FCC gave CIA contract

personnel a experimental license, call sign WD2XLW, allowing them to interact with

the implanted devices wirelessly using FCC regulated spectrum. The license is only

good within U.S. borders confirming this is a domestic tool. The FCC said this license

only allows for operation within a short range, but Intel Corp disputed the FCC's claim

and submitted data showing that the WD2XLW license, even at only 1-milliwatt of power,

was good for thousands of meters or many miles.